Exhibit E

CONDENSED TRANSCRIPT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

VANGUARD IDENTIFICATION SYSTEMS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RONNIE E. GOADE, SR., et al.,

Defendants.

NO. 02-2943

W. KANE, taken at the law offices of BAZELON, LESS & FELDMAN, P.C., 1515
Market Street, Suite 700,
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, on
Tuesday, June 15, 2004, at 2:31 p.m.,
before Rosemary Locklear, Registered
Professional Reporter, Certified
Shorthand Reporter (NJ), Certified
Realtime Reporter and Notary Public,
pursuant to notice.

James DeCrescenzo Reporting, LLC

215.564.3905 phone nia's Leader in information and Technology Ma 1700 Sansom Street, 5th Floor, Philadelphia, PA 19103

215.751.0581

ORAL DEPOSITION OF RIOBERT W. KANE, 6/15/04

7

122

- held him more responsible because the
- infringement occurred or was 2
- 3 initiated while he owned the company
- and it continued during that period
- of time through -- throughout the 5
- litigation while he owned the company
- 7 and then DocuSystems had agreed to
- 8 stop manufacturing that product and
- 9 that that may have been the reason.
- 10 BY MR. ZAKEN:
- 11 Q. I --
- 12 A. And -- I'm sorry. Go
- 13 ahead.
- 14 Q. Go on. I didn't mean to
- interrupt your answer. 15
- 16 A. Well, and -- and in the
- event that -- well, that's it. I .17
- don't want to speculate. 18
- 19 Q. Now, at the time that this
- 20 settlement of the lawsuit was entered
- into that's Warther Exhibit 5, do you 21
- know if Mr. Goade was involved in 22
- 23 those discussions?
- 24 A. Don't know.

123

- 1 MR. BOCHETTO: If you know THE WITNESS: I don't know. 2
- 3 BY MR. ZAKEN:
- Q. You didn't participate in 4
- any conversations that Mr. Goade
- 6 participated in leading to this
- 7 Warther Deposition Exhibit 5
- 8 settlement?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. And is it true that
- DocuSystems ceased infringing on the
- product after the agreement was
- reached --13
- MR. BOCHETTO: Objection --14
- 15 BY MR. ZAKEN:
- Q. -- between DocuSystems and 16
- Vanguard, if you know? 17
- 18 MR. BOCHETTO: Objection.
- 19 You may answer.
- THE WITNESS: To the best
- of our knowledge and belief, they had
- stopped infringing.
- BY MR. ZAKEN:
- Q. Do you know why the

124

- settlement was reduced to the legal
- form that it is presented in here, in 2
- 3 Warther Deposition Exhibit 5, as
- 4 opposed to, for example, a settlement
- 5 agreement or a letter agreement like
- 6 you referenced earlier?
 - MR. BOCHETTO: Objection.
 - And I want you to be
- 8 careful here not to relate anything 9
- 10 that may have been told to you by an
- 11 attorney who was representing you at
- 12 the time. If you can answer the
- 13 question independently of that, you
- may do so with my objection but do 14
- not relate anything any attorney 15
- might have told you. 16
 - THE WITNESS: I I don't
- 17 18 know for sure.
- BY MR. ZAKEN: 19
- 20 Q. At the time that this
- settlement was entered into, did you 21
- believe that DocuSystems was going to 22
- pay on that settlement? 23
- A. We believed that we were 24

125

- going to collect the money, yes.
 - Q. That swhy you had the
- victory party?
 - A. Yes.

8

- Q. And what efforts did you
- make to or did Vanguard make to 6
- 7 collect on this judgment?
 - MR. BOCHETTO: And let me
- 9 caution the witness not to relate any
- 10 communications you had with counsel
- 11 or any work product of counsel.
- THE WITNESS: Okay. 12
- 13 We contacted two attorneys.
- BY MR. ZAKEN: 14
- 15 Q. Who were they?
- A. Gary Rosen and subsequently 16
- 17 Bochetto & Lentz.
- 18 Q. And the conversations with
- Gary Rosen occurred shortly after the 19
- 20 Judgment was entered into?
- 21 MR. BOCHETTO: Objection.
- 22 You know --
- BY MR. ZAKEN: 23
- 24 Just asking for time frame.



James DeCrescenzo Reporting Pennsylvania's Leader in Technology and Information Management